

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gev

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	· ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,872	02/22/2002	Loren J. Veltrop	00-176-D	2509	
75	90 06/30/2004		EXAM	INER	
Anthoula Pomrening			BECKER, DREW E		
McDonnell Boe	hnen Hulbert & Berghof	f			
32nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
300 S. Wacker Drive			1761		
Chicago, IL 60	0606		2 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/080,872	VELTROP, LOREN J.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Drew E Becker	1761				
	DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply			0. 5001				
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification.  - Failure to reply within the second of the period for reply is specification.	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 in the mailing date of this communication. led above is less than thirty (30) days, a replicified above, the maximum statutory period vert or extended period for reply will, by statute office later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE g date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	une 2004.					
2a) This action is F							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70-76</u> 4a) Of the above 5)□ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>70-76</u> , 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>80-84</u>	Claim(s) 70-76 and 78-84 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 70-76,78 and 79 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 80-84 is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	_ ,,	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob xaminer. Note the attached Office		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)	L (DTO 200)		(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 💹 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/080,872

Art Unit: 1761

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Request for Continued Examination

1. The request filed on June 7, 2004 for an RCE based on parent Application No. 10/080,872 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed June 7, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Specifically, there was no copy of the "Thermodyne" reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/080,872

Art Unit: 1761

4. Claims 70-76 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shei et al [Pat. No. 6,262,394].

Shei et al teach a method of warming cooked food (abstract) by placing it in a tray with a lip (Figure 1, B), inserting the tray into a receiving space of a freestanding cover with a flat flange section and a lower support section (Figure 1, #80), a warming apparatus with heated compartments (Figure 1, #4 & 48), the flange blocking the tray opening by being flush with the lip (Figure 3, left side), front and rear openings for inserting and removing the trays and covers (Figure 1-2, #36; column 6, line 42 to column 7, line 41), and a shelf which supports the cover (Figure 3, #70).

5. Claims 70-76 and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oda et al [Pat. No. 5,028,761].

Oda et al teach a method of warming cooked food (abstract) by placing it in a tray with a lip (Figure 5, #12), inserting the tray into a receiving space of a freestanding cover with a flat flange section and a lower support section (Figure 5, #13-15), a warming apparatus with heated compartments (Figure 1, #1), the flange blocking the tray opening by being flush with the lip (Figure 5, #14), front and rear openings for inserting and removing the trays and covers (Figure 1, #2), and a shelf which supports the cover (Figure 6, #91-92).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/080,872

Art Unit: 1761

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 79 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda et al in view of Robards et al [pat. No. 5,900,173]

Oda et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Oda et al do not teach the cover contacting the compartment upper surface. Robards et al teach a method of warming cooked foods by use of tray which is pressed against the upper surface of the compartment (Figure 3, #48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the upper surface contact of Robards et al into the invention of Oda et al since both are directed to methods of warming cooked foods, since Oda et al already included a cover which sealed off tray opening (Figure 5, #12-13), and since the upper surface contact of Robards et al would have prevented the cover of Oda et al from lifting up due to pressure and thus releasing moisture from the tray.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 80-84 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: dependent claim 80 defines over the prior art of record since the prior art does not teach, suggest, nor render obvious the cover having a front retainer which engages the shelf.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,872

Art Unit: 1761

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed June 7, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shei et al do not teach a "support section substantially defining said receiving space". However, Shei et al clearly disclose a cover including a lower support section defining a receiving space (Figure 1, #82). In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the receiving space enclosing the entire tray) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Applicant appears to argue that the cover should be placed in the compartment, and next the tray should be inserted into the cover which was already within the compartment. However, this concept is not present in the claims. Claim 70 merely requires that the tray be placed under the cover, and that at some point in time, the cover should be present within the compartment. It is suggested that applicant consider inserting language such as "followed by the step of..." or "first...; second...".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-

Application/Control Number: 10/080,872 Page 6

Art Unit: 1761

1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 8am-5pm and every other Fri. 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Drew E Becker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

6-25-oz